

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|------------------|----------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/071,680 | . (| 02/07/2002 | Richard Lilly | 260/008 4035 | |
| 34263 | 7590 | 10/08/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| O'MELVE | NY & MI | EYERS | | HO, UY | /EN T |
| 114 PACIFI | CA, SUIT | E 100 | | | |
| IRVINE, CA 92618 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | | 2721 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | A |
|--|---|---|-------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | J |
| | 10/071,680 | LILLY ET AL. | V |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho | 3731 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence addre | ss |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this common D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | unication. |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Au</u> | | | |
| <u>'</u> | action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | | | ents is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 33 O.G. 213. | * |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-14,18,21,24,27,29 and 31</u> is/are | pending in the application. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray | vn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | • | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | • |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-14,18,21,24,27,29 and 31</u> are so | ubject to restriction and/or election | n requirement. | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce | epted or b) objected to by the E | Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO- | 152. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | ed in this National Sta | ige |
| application from the International Bureau | | 1 | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | or the centiled copies not receive | ;a. | |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice of Informal P | | 52) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species 1: Fig. 5A; Species 2: Fig. 6A; Species 3: Fig. 7A-B; Species 4: Figs. 8A-B; Species 5: Fig. 9A-9B; Species 6: Figs. 9C-D; Species 7: Figs. 9E-9F; Species 8: Fig. 9H-I; Species 9: 9G; Species 10: Fig. 9J. Subspecies 1: Fig. 10a; Subspecies 2: Fig. 10B; Subspecies 3: Fig. 11A; Subspecies 4: Fig. 11B.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 3731

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is (703) 306-3421. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANHTUAN or NGUYEN can be reached on 703-308-2154. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho Patent Examiner Art Unit 3731

October 6, 2004